

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/09/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/826,804	04/06/2001	Masaomi Takagi	040679/1246	040679/1246 6447	
7	7590 10/09/2002				
Richard L. Schwaab FOLEY & LARDNER Washington Harbour			EXAMINER		
			CULBRETH, ERIC D		
3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 500 Washington, DC 20007-5109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
abiningion, 2	2000. 2.09		3616		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
i.	09/826,804	TAKAGI ET AL.	\mathcal{Y}
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eric D Culbreth	3616	4
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	2SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ib(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>B</i> Disposition of Claims			nerits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	The training of the training o		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement		
Application Papers	Todam roquirom		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exar	niner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No	
Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified action.	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		ige
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	·		plication).
a) The translation of the foreign language prov 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has been rec	eived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s). (PTO-15	

Application/Control Number: 09/826,804

Art Unit: 3616

DETAILED ACTION

Supplemental Election/Restrictions

- 1. In view of the interview with Mr. Paul Strain, applicant's attorney (Registration No. 47,369) on 10/1/02 and 10/2/02, following is a supplemental election/restriction clarifying the status of the claims.
- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-5 and 7, drawn to a metallic product, classified in class 428, subclass34.1.
 - II. Claims 6, 8, 17 and 20, drawn to a process of forming a member, classified in class 72, subclass 362.
 - III. Claims 9-16 and 18-19, drawn to a vehicle construction member, classified in class 280, subclass 781.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are

 distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be

 used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

 made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the

 product can be made by a materially different process such as stamping or pouring molten metal
 in a mold.

Application/Control Number: 09/826,804

Art Unit: 3616

- 4. Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require a box girder shape (claim 5). The subcombination has separate utility such as a metal piece for a piece of furniture.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - a. Figures 1-10;
 - b. Figures 11-13;
 - c. Figures 14-16.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Application/Control Number: 09/826,804

Art Unit: 3616

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the invention species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

7. (A proper response to this restriction requirement should include an election of one of Groups I-III in paragraph 1 above in addition to an election of one of the species in paragraph 5 above).

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 3616

9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric D Culbreth whose telephone number is 703/308-0360. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 9:30-7:00 alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on 703-308-2089. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703/746-3508 for regular communications and 703/308-2571 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

> Eric D Culbreth Primary Examiner

> > 10/2/02

Art Unit 3616

ec

October 2, 2002